



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Filed
A T Bll

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	16	FILING DATE	07/95	BROW	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	M	FOB 6-01756	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	----	-------------	-------	------	-----------------------	---	-------------	---------------------

HM11/1014

PETER G. CARROLL
MEIDLEN & CARROLL
SUITE 2200
220 MONTGOMERY STREET
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

SANDALS O, I

[REDACTED]
EXAMINER

1636

[REDACTED]
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
10714776
16

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.
Commissioner of Patents

Please see the attached Interview Summary.

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

R.I. Gg

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/520,946	Applicant(s) Brow, Lyamichev And Olive
	Examiner William Sandals	Group Art Unit 1636
		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William Sandals

(3) Cameron McKnight

(2) Dave Casimir, Esq.

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 9, 1998

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 19 and 44.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Lyamichev et al. and Young et al. (of record)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

We discussed the motivation to combine Lyamichev et al. and Young et al. We discussed amendments to the claims which would avoid the prior art. We discussed the issues of nucleic acid substrate intra-strand secondary structure versus inter-strand interactions as they affect the nuclease cleavage reactions. We discussed the requirement for a primer when RNA is the nucleic acid substrate.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.